University of Utah Visual, Information and Technology Literacy
Task Force Report and Recommendations

May 22, 2009

Task Force Members

Co-Chair - Juli Hinz, Associate Director of Research and Learning Services, Marriott Library
Co-Chair - Robert Zheng, Program Director of Instructional Design and Educational Technology
Jim Agutter, Research Professor, Architecture
Janet Kaufman, Associate Professor, English
Sean Lawson, Assistant Professor, Communication
Jeanne LeBer, Education Librarian, Eccles Health Science Library
Maureen Mathison, Director, University Writing Program
Alfred Mowdood, Head of Research and Information Services, Marriott Library
Alison Regan, Head of Scholarship and Education Services, Marriott Library
Lorelei Sells, Development Officer, Office of Interdisciplinary Studies
Linda St Clair, Head of Education Services, Marriott Library
Suzanne Stensaas, Professor, School of Medicine
Natalie Stillman-Webb, University Writing Program
Elizabeth Tashjian, Associate Professor, Finance
Donna Ziegenfuss, CTLE, Undergraduate Studies
# Table of Contents

Executive Summary .................................................................................................................. 3  
Background ........................................................................................................................... 3  
Charge to the VITL Task Force .............................................................................................. 4  
Outcomes of the VITL Task Force ....................................................................................... 5  
VITL Definitions and Connection to the Curriculum ......................................................... 5  
The Role of Libraries ............................................................................................................. 6  
Challenges, Barriers and Opportunities ............................................................................... 6  
VITL Task Force Recommendations .................................................................................... 6 
Recommendation 1 – Reach all students; develop and implement a VITL pilot project for first year and transfer students ......................................................................................... 6 
Recommendation 2 – Offer a VITL Curriculum Integration Grant Program for faculty. ........ 7 
Recommendation 3 – Increase faculty outreach and development ..................................... 8 
Recommendation 4 – Address VITL technological and infrastructure needs through strengthened campus coordination ..................................................................................................... 8 
Recommendation 5 – Assess VITL learning at the department and university levels. ......... 9 
Recommendation 6 – Create student awards and incentives for VITL work ....................... 9 
Recommendation 7 - Integrate VITL with K-12 Teacher Education .................................... 10 
Implementation .................................................................................................................... 10 
  Appendix A: Essential Learning Outcomes from AAC & U LEAP ............................... 11  
  Appendix B: VITL Framework for Essential Learning Outcomes .............................. 12  
  Appendix C: Challenges, Barriers and Opportunities for Faculty .............................. 13 
  Appendix D: Existing Visual Literacy Courses at the University of Utah ..................... 14  
  Appendix E: Additional Information about Pilot Projects ............................................. 16  
  Appendix F: Proposed RFP for VITL Curriculum Integration Grant Program ............. 19 
  Appendix G: Campus Infrastructure Issues ................................................................. 21  
  Appendix H: Visual, Information and Technology Literacy Selected Sources ............... 22
Executive Summary

Recognizing the critical nature of visual, information, and technology literacy to the foundation of a 21st century education, a VITL Task Force has been convened to explore and expand initiatives at the University of Utah that promote competencies in these literacies. The VITL Task Force worked during the 2008-09 academic year to define these literacies, make connections between these definitions and essential learning outcomes endorsed by the American Association of Colleges and Universities and adopted by the University, and provide findings and a foundation for a strategic framework to enhance and embed VITL in the educational mission of the University.

Based on the Task Force deliberations and findings, the group formulated the following recommendations which address specific immediate actions that can be implemented in the 2009-2010 academic year, and priority initiatives requiring endorsement at the University level to begin:

Immediate Actions:
1. Develop and implement a pilot project for teaching VITL in first year and upper division courses. This project will involve collaboration between the library, the University Writing Program, Undergraduate Studies, and Educational Psychology.
2. Create a seed grant opportunity that will encourage faculty to develop or enhance VITL in courses.
3. Formulate and execute multiple vehicles for sharing best practices in VITL teaching through faculty outreach and development.

Priority Initiatives:
4. Address VITL technological and infrastructure needs through strengthened campus coordination.
5. Assess VITL learning at the department and campus wide levels.
6. Create student awards and incentives for exemplary demonstration of VITL competencies.
7. Integrate VITL in the training of K-12 educators.

These recommendations are outlined and a plan for implementation is defined in the report.

Background

In his inaugural address, University of Utah President Michael Young noted that in order to “prepare our students to succeed in the 21st century” we must provide them with technological literacy and an interdisciplinary perspective. “The future,” he further argued, is “essentially and inescapably interdisciplinary.” Other prominent thinkers share Young’s view: in a 2006 Time Magazine article titled “How to Bring Our Schools Out of the 20th Century,” Pulitzer Prize winning journalist and best-selling author of The World is Flat was quoted as saying that “It is interdisciplinary combinations — design and technology, mathematics and art — that produced YouTube and Google.”

To meet the educational mission of the University, a campus Visual, Information and Technology Literacy Task Force was charged by Associate Vice President for Interdisciplinary Studies Robert Newman and University Librarian Joyce Ogburn to make recommendations in a plan that lays out strategic directions, expectations, and desired outcomes that will increase the effectiveness and scope of new literacy initiatives for students. This interdisciplinary plan is to be centered in the libraries with a goal to give all students opportunities to develop VITL capabilities across the curriculum.

The Task Force considered the following questions:

- What skills do students need to survive and thrive in the 21st century?
- What implications does this have for our current education programs?
- What kind of training do faculty need to teach effectively in the digital world?
- What structures, coordination, resources, incentives, and awards are needed to advance a VITL initiative?

**Charge to the VITL Task Force**

The Task Force members met with Robert Newman and Joyce Ogburn in July of 2008 and were given the following charge:

_In this age of technological abundance, information overload, and wealth of visual imagery, it is essential that students gain skills in critical thinking and thoughtful engagement with knowledge that will prepare them to be “smart for life.” To promote this goal at the University of Utah, the University Librarian and the Associate Vice President for Interdisciplinary Studies appointed a Task Force to explore and expand initiatives at the U that provide courses, programs and services that enhance student competencies in visual, information and technology literacy (VITL)._  

_The first stage of the work will be to identify and support courses that represent new models and potential pilot projects that can be replicated. By May 2009 the VITL Task Force will prepare recommendations regarding how to sustain and increase successful literacy programs and initiatives at the university. The recommendations should create a plan or a framework that lays out strategic directions, expectations and desired outcomes that will increase the effectiveness and scope of literacy initiatives. Components may include:_

- Awards and incentives for innovative approaches by faculty and services that integrate VITL into student learning
- Incentives for student participation and learning such as a certificate or monetary awards
- Venues to highlight and share information about successful courses and programs
- Provision of a diversity of experiences, online and in person, that present effective learning opportunities
- Recommendations for standards, templates, modules, and tools
- Encouragement of partnerships among disciplines and departments

_Membership will draw from faculty, staff and students across the university and will consult broadly with other relevant campus committees and groups._
Outcomes of the VITL Task Force

The Task Force defined visual, information, and technology literacies and endorsed these abilities as critical learning outcomes of a University of Utah education. Planning for the VITL initiative positions the libraries as key interdisciplinary partners with colleges, departments and programs in supporting faculty and expanding (or strengthening) student learning in these areas. Task Force discussions along with a study of relevant literature identified challenges, barriers and opportunities facing educators in implementing a campus wide VITL initiative. Task Force review of existing course offerings identified numerous courses that have one or more VITL components. The recommendations of this report attempt to address these obstacles and opportunities.

(See Appendix D: Existing Visual Literacy Courses at the University of Utah).

VITL Definitions and Connection to the Curriculum

Visual literacy may be defined as the ability to recognize and understand ideas conveyed through visible actions or images, as well as to be able to convey ideas or messages through imagery.3

Information Literacy is defined as “a set of abilities requiring individuals to recognize when information is needed and have the ability to locate, evaluate, and use effectively the needed information.”4

Technology literacy is defined as the ability to responsibly use appropriate technology to communicate, solve problems, and access, manage, integrate, evaluate, and create information to improve learning in all subject areas and to acquire lifelong knowledge and skills in the 21st century.5

The goals of the VITL effort relate to the University of Utah Undergraduate Council’s recent endorsement of “Essential Learning Outcomes” for the General Education program. These outcomes developed by the American Association of Colleges and Universities Liberal Education and America’s Promise (LEAP)6 guide learning in preparation for 21st century life and work and provide a framework to which many of the VITL assignments and learning outcomes may be mapped.

(See Appendix A: The Essential Learning Outcomes from AAC & U LEAP).  
(See Appendix B: VITL Framework for Essential Learning Outcomes).

*Note AAC&U’s LEAP is not the same as the University of Utah Learning, Engagement, Achievement and Progress (LEAP) program
The Role of Libraries

Libraries have been in the business of teaching information literacy skills for decades – helping students learn how to be effective in seeking, accessing, analyzing, and applying knowledge resources in their academic work. More recently the libraries have been expanding what they teach students as technology has developed, and the world has become more and more visual through the web, video, television, mobile devices, and other kinds of media.

Other schools have or are developing literacy programs as shown by the work at Duke University, University of California, Berkeley, Cornell University, and Brown University in collaboration with Maricopa Community College to name only a few. At Duke University, visual studies is identified as a key to general education, is highly interdisciplinary, involves both undergraduate and graduate students and reaches across humanities, sciences, social sciences, and engineering. The collaboration between Brown University and Maricopa Community College, funded by a National Science Foundation grant, resulted in the development of online modules to instruct students in the effective use of software. The University of California, Berkeley, with funding from the Mellon Foundation, instituted summer workshops for faculty training, provided innovation grants, and offered release time to support exploration of new approaches for research-based learning. The program development at Cornell University is supported via Faculty Innovation in Teaching grants.

The University of Utah VITL initiative will strive to integrate these three literacies into the curriculum. This plan recommends ways for the libraries to work with faculty to embed learning experiences related to doing effective research, applying technologies that are important in the disciplines, and using a wide range of resources and formats.

Challenges, Barriers and Opportunities

There are factors that support and hamper an extensive VITL plan. Our “digital native” students are coming to the University with technology skills and an aptitude for using technology and media in their academic work. There is growing recognition among faculty and administrators of the value VITL learning in preparation for life and work in the 21st century. Integration of VITL teaching and the technology capabilities of educators are uneven across campus. Existing faculty teaching and research workloads compete for time needed to re-envision the curriculum with a VITL focus and to develop new skills. The demand for technology-enhanced classrooms exceeds available resources and information about teaching facilities and software tools is not centrally located. The following recommendations are intended to build on existing strengths and address challenges and barriers to make VITL a distinctive experience for students at the University of Utah.

(See Appendix C: VITL Implementation - Challenges, Barriers and Opportunities).

Task Force Recommendations

Recommendation 1 – Reach all students; start by developing and implementing a VITL pilot project for first year and transfer students.

University of Utah first-year and transfer students will have common learning experiences to establish a foundation in visual, information and technology literacies to support their further
academic work and prepare them for lifelong learning. The goal of reaching all students will start with a smaller scale pilot project in academic year 2009-10.

Visual, information, and technology literacy encompasses numerous forms of technology and media and varied skills such as locating, evaluating, selecting and using information in many formats. This recommendation proposes that faculty and librarians partner to identify places in the curriculum where added attention may be paid to such literacies in a discipline-appropriate way, and in a way that broadens the experience and abilities of our students.

Through this VITL effort all first year students will have a VITL experience as a foundation to be built upon subsequently with more VITL learning in students’ major areas of study. Ideally every University of Utah student will have an opportunity to learn and apply VITL capabilities in their academic work.

This plan does not envision a single model for such an initiative, because colleges and disciplines will naturally have different ways of approaching it, and will have varying expectations of the competencies their students need. It does envision a variety of teaching modes to give students opportunities to learn new skills in a variety of ways. Some examples of different models for this kind of instruction and learning include:

- a traditional three credit course taught by an academic department coupled with a one credit course taught by library faculty;
- course integrated library instruction;
- online tutorials housed on the library website or in a Course Management System (Blackboard); and
- workshops, consultations and labs taught by library faculty and expert staff.

For the 2009-2010 academic year the Task Force proposes a two part pilot project. A fall pilot will target first-year initiatives, partnering with librarians and instructors in the University Writing Program and Undergraduate Studies (LEAP) to provide training and support for assignments integrating technology, media and other resources. A spring pilot will target upper-level initiatives, partnering with librarians and instructors in the University Writing Program and college/discipline research methods courses.

The fall pilot project will reach approximately 600 students in 25 classes through teaching and partnership of 15 librarians and 20 instructors. We anticipate that a successful pilot project will enhance our efforts to obtain both intramural and extramural funding to expand our efforts. Findings of the pilot study will inform about scalability, needed resources, and measurable learning outcomes to guide future planning for expanding VITL teaching and learning.

(See Appendix E: Additional Information about Pilot Projects).

Responsible: Libraries and designated teaching faculty.

**Recommendation 2 – Offer a VITL Curriculum Integration Grant Program for faculty.**

In order to foster the promotion of novel methods and techniques for integrating new literacies in the classroom, the Marriott Library and the Office of Interdisciplinary Studies will identify funding to establish a seed grant program for the development of VITL intensive courses.
Funding will be available to faculty on a competitive basis with applications available in fall 2009. This program will raise the profile of the VITL initiative among faculty, as well as provide a laboratory for testing and disseminating best practices in teaching new literacies. A VITL grants committee will be appointed to review proposals.

(See Appendix F: Proposed RFP for VITL Curriculum Integration Grant Program).

Responsible: Marriott Library, Office of Interdisciplinary Studies and VITL Grants Committee.

**Recommendation 3 – Increase faculty outreach and development.**

Outreach and faculty development efforts will help inform the university community of the importance of VITL learning and help faculty in their creation of VITL learning opportunities for students. The following are recommended:

- A campus-wide VITL forum in 2009-10 featuring national and local speakers to launch the VITL initiative and increase awareness and understanding.
- An annual VITL Curriculum Fair to showcase faculty curriculum development efforts and successful student projects.
- A website with online tutorials, toolkits, and related materials and links developed and maintained by the library to facilitate access to supporting resources.
- An information forum and consultations related to VITL RFP process hosted by the library.
- Faculty support and training as an important component of library training programs.
- Collaboration with campus units that support faculty teaching, including the Technology Assisted Curriculum Center (TACC) and the Center for Teaching and Learning Excellence (CTLE).

Responsible: VITL Task Force and University libraries.

**Recommendation 4 – Address VITL technological and infrastructure needs through strengthened campus coordination.**

The EDUCAUSE community recently identified their top five challenges in teaching and learning with technology, with number one being “creating learning environments that promote active learning, critical thinking, collaborative learning, and knowledge creation.” The teaching faculty at the University of Utah face numerous barriers and challenges as they strive to utilize campus resources most effectively and efficiently. The Task Force recommends the creation of a committee to address improved communication, infrastructure support, and resource balancing. This broad coalition of coordinated support will help ensure that resources needed for VITL teaching and learning are widely available across campus. Specific recommendations include the following:

- Develop an infrastructure that provides upkeep for facilities, hardware, and software in the 180+ technology-enhanced general purpose classrooms.
- Create a central website for communicating details and specifications about all computing labs and classrooms across campus.

---

• Make classrooms and labs more widely available to courses across the curriculum through improved scheduling as well as balancing the demand between semester-long courses and course-related lab sessions.

Membership should comprise library and teaching faculty and staff in Campus Facilities and OIT.

(See Appendix G: Campus Infrastructure Issues).

Responsible: Appropriate campus leadership and personnel.

**Recommendation 5 – Assess VITL learning at the department and university levels.**

The Task Force suggests that a two-level assessment model be used to assess the impact of VITL on teaching and learning. The two levels consist of departmental and university assessment which would provide a comprehensive picture of the VITL activities campus wide.

1. Departmental level assessment: the Task Force recommends that department curriculum committees include provisions in curricular guidelines focusing on integrating VITL in courses as well as related assessment tools to gauge the outcomes of VITL integration. Specifically, the assessment should look at:
   a. The level of VITL application in course content
   b. Student expectation and learning outcomes related to VITL
   c. Faculty expectation and teaching outcomes related to VITL
   d. The magnitude and impact of VITL at departmental level integration

2. Campus level assessment: the Task Force recommends that an assessment infrastructure at university be created to ensure the successful implementation of VITL at the departmental level. Specifically, the university assessment pertaining to VITL implementation should include:
   a. An assessment on the operational capability of technology resources (i.e. coordination and repurposing of various technology resources including classrooms, software licensing, etc.)
   b. Students’ VITL learning outcomes in connection to UU Essential Learning Outcomes
   c. Undergraduate capstone projects that capture the use of VITL in various discipline areas
   d. Campus wide application of VITL by faculty members through interdisciplinary collaboration and research.

Responsible: University departments, libraries, and Undergraduate Council.

**Recommendation 6 – Create student awards and incentives for VITL work.**

A new Honors Thesis Award co-sponsored by the Honors College and the J. Willard Marriott Library has been established to recognize outstanding academic work in completion of Honors theses. The Task Force recommends the creation of another undergraduate research award for students who demonstrate skill and creativity in the application of visual, information and technology literacies in their academic work. Programs at other universities including the University of Oregon, Emory University, UC Berkeley, and the University of Washington could serve as models for such a new award.
As the VITL program grows, a certificate program could be developed to offer a credential documenting VITL work and accomplishments. In such a program, students would be required to take VITL-designated classes (amounting to between 15-18 credits) in order to earn a VITL certificate upon graduation.

Responsible: University libraries and VITL Task Force.

**Recommendation 7 - Integrate VITL with K-12 Teacher Education.**
The Task Force recommends further integration of VITL with K-12 teacher education. Specific emphasis will be on integrating VITL into courses in teacher education in the College of Education. Undergraduate students in education major will:

- utilize different educational technologies to design and develop lesson plans;
- create learning and instructional activities that highlight the use of VITL in teaching and learning; and
- develop assessment to gauge the effect of VITL on students’ cognitive information process, knowledge acquisition and transfer, and the abilities to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

Responsible: College of Education Curriculum Committee.

**VITL Plan Implementation**

Recommendation 1 - Reach all students: Pilot Project planning is already underway

Recommendation 2 - Offer seed grants: Could be started as soon as funding and staffing and VITL Advisory Committee are identified

Recommendation 3 - Outreach and development: Identify coordinator to work with VITL Task Force

Recommendation 4 - Infrastructure needs: Needs to be a campus priority

Recommendation 5 – Assessment: Identify administrative responsibility

Recommendation 6 - Student awards: Future initiative

Recommendation 7 - K-12 Teacher Education: Refer to College of Education

(See Appendix H: Visual, Information and Technology Literacy Selected Sources)
Appendix A: Essential Learning Outcomes from AAC & U LEAP*

The Essential Learning Outcomes

Beginning in school, and continuing at successively higher levels across their college studies, students should prepare for twenty-first-century challenges by gaining:

Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World
- Through study in the sciences and mathematics, social sciences, humanities, histories, languages, and the arts
  Focused by engagement with big questions, both contemporary and enduring

Intellectual and Practical Skills, including
- Inquiry and analysis
- Critical and creative thinking
- Written and oral communication
- Quantitative literacy
- Information literacy
- Teamwork and problem solving
  Practiced extensively, across the curriculum, in the context of progressively more challenging problems, projects, and standards for performance

Personal and Social Responsibility, including
- Civic knowledge and engagement—local and global
- Intercultural knowledge and competence
- Ethical reasoning and action
- Foundations and skills for lifelong learning
  Anchored through active involvement with diverse communities and real-world challenges

Integrative Learning, including
- Synthesis and advanced accomplishment across general and specialized studies
  Demonstrated through the application of knowledge, skills, and responsibilities to new settings and complex problems

Note: This listing was developed through a multiyear dialogue with hundreds of colleges and universities about needed goals for student learning; analysis of a long series of recommendations and reports from the business community; and analysis of the accreditation requirements for engineering, business, nursing, and teacher education. The findings are documented in previous publications of the Association of American Colleges and Universities: Greater Expectations: A New Vision for Learning as a Nation Goes to College (2000), Taking Responsibility for the Quality of the Baccalaureate Degree (2004), and Liberal Education Outcomes: A Preliminary Report on Achievement in College (2005). Liberal Education Outcomes is available online at www.aacu.org/leap.

### Appendix B: VITL Framework for Essential Learning Outcomes Using the New “Literacy Domains”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UU Essential Learning Outcomes&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Visual Literacy&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Information Literacy&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Technology Literacy&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Knowledge of human cultures and the physical and natural world focused by engagement with big questions, both contemporary and enduring | • Find visuals that characterize the question  
• Identify basic elements of visual design, technique, and media  
• Demonstrate knowledge of visuals produced and/or displayed through electronic media | • Develop the research question or topic  
• Determine the information need (analyze requirements of assignment)  
• Determine where to look | • Determine what tools to use to analyze the information  
• Select and effectively utilize technological tools to define, articulate, and solve complex problems |
| • Intellectual and practical skills, performed extensively, across the curriculum, in the context of progressively more challenging problems, projects, and standards for performance | • Evaluate, critique, and consume visual information  
• Repurpose information within visual formats to represent research in visually effective methods | • Evaluate information and sources critically  
• Organize and manage retrieved information  
• Synthesize information | • Build upon progressively more complex technology concepts and operations knowledge  
• Adapt to evolving technologies and manage limitations of technologies |
| • Personal and social responsibility, anchored through active involvement with diverse communities and real-world challenges | • Communicate and collaborate using visuals | • Communicate/present information to audience  
• Participate effectively in groups to pursue and generate information  
• Practice ethical behavior in regard to information use and information technology | • Effectively collaborate and communicate using acquired technological knowledge and skills sets |
| • Integrative learning demonstrated through the application of knowledge, skills, and responsibilities to new settings and complex problems | • Apply knowledge to be innovative visual thinkers and successful problem solvers  
• Demonstrate visual awareness | • Integrate knowledge learned across disciplines  
• Share knowledge via publications, speaking, videos, other media  
• Recognize the economic, social, & legal issues when using information | • Apply technologies to solve new problems, make decisions and manage complexity  
• Understand the societal impact of information and technology |

---


Appendix C: Challenges, Barriers and Opportunities for Faculty

In order to achieve an integration of the VITL recommendations, there are opportunities that we should plan to take advantage of as well as several challenges and barriers to be overcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty time</td>
<td>• Busy schedules&lt;br&gt;• Teaching load&lt;br&gt;• Research load&lt;br&gt;• Work-life balance</td>
<td>• Sabbatical&lt;br&gt;• Professional development time&lt;br&gt;• Teaching grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty knowledge</td>
<td>• Senior faculty are less tech savvy&lt;br&gt;• Poor access to training</td>
<td>• Willing to learn and keep current&lt;br&gt;• Junior faculty are more tech savvy&lt;br&gt;• Access to training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrating VITL into the current curriculum</td>
<td>Rethink, relearn</td>
<td>Rethink, relearn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual Property</td>
<td>Narrow definition of Fair Use</td>
<td>Creative Commons&lt;br&gt;A licensing model that provides a way for creators to allow their work to be shared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New laws or policies that make it easier to use and share works while preserving attribution and rights are needed for visual and aural media.</td>
<td>Some tools are challenging; not always clear how the tools can be used or integrated into current curriculum; image, video and audio processing software are not seen as part of the basic tool kit.</td>
<td>Software developers are making these tools easier to use:&lt;br&gt;• more accessible&lt;br&gt;• more widely used&lt;br&gt;• online tutorials help&lt;br&gt;• learn from colleagues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools for creating multimedia are widely available; image, sound, video editing tools; desktop publishing and web design tools; hardware to print, record, burn, copy and distribute created media; the Internet.</td>
<td>Some tools and software programs are difficult to learn; need for server space.</td>
<td>Tools, software and hardware are becoming easier to use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards for various media formats or in media tools for multimedia are not in place.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy changes</td>
<td>• Retention of status quo&lt;br&gt;• Need time to develop new training for teachers&lt;br&gt;• Creator concern with copyright and their profits&lt;br&gt;• Cost to develop software&lt;br&gt;• Cost to produce hardware&lt;br&gt;• Cost to market tools</td>
<td>Incorporate VITL skills in teacher training programs&lt;br&gt;Creative Commons and copyright revisions&lt;br&gt;Reasonable pricing schemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher training and certification&lt;br&gt;Copyright/Fair Use&lt;br&gt;Software and hardware pricing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to change</td>
<td>• VITL is not well understood.&lt;br&gt;• Insufficient research to demonstrate its value&lt;br&gt;• No clear incentive to change&lt;br&gt;• Current tenure process does not reward this kind of work.&lt;br&gt;• Institutional and/or faculty resistance to change</td>
<td>Administrative support at the UU&lt;br&gt;VITL Task Force at UU&lt;br&gt;Some faculty have already incorporated VITL into their course work.&lt;br&gt;Lots of examples here at the UU&lt;br&gt;Students are ready&lt;br&gt;Employers are demanding students with these skills.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Appendix D: Existing Visual Literacy Courses at the University of Utah

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Course Number</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>Architecture Design Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>6050</td>
<td>Visual Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>6271</td>
<td>Information Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>Introduction to the Visual Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Creative Problem Solving in the Visual Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>First Year Studio 2-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>First Year Studio 3-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>Visual Language Studio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>Language of Color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>Illustration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>History of Graphic Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3610</td>
<td>Visual Communication I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3620</td>
<td>Visual Communication II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>Graphic Problems I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>Graphic Problems II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3670</td>
<td>Digital Studio Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>Digital Imaging for Visual Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>4510</td>
<td>Graphic Illustration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomedical Informatics</td>
<td>6460</td>
<td>Web Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>3550</td>
<td>Principles of Visual Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>Intro to Web Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>4570</td>
<td>Visual Editing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5510</td>
<td>Advanced Web Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5520</td>
<td>Interactive Narrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5530</td>
<td>Visual Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5650</td>
<td>Videogames Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5680</td>
<td>Computer mediated communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5770</td>
<td>Communication Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>6530</td>
<td>Visual Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>6650</td>
<td>Videogame Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>6680</td>
<td>Computer Mediated Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>Creating Interactive Web Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>Computers in Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>5320</td>
<td>Computer Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>Introduction to Computer Graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>5610</td>
<td>Interactive Computer Graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>5630</td>
<td>Scientific Visualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>6360</td>
<td>Virtual Reality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>6610</td>
<td>Interactive Computer Graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>6620</td>
<td>Ray Tracing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>6630</td>
<td>Scientific Visualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Course Number</td>
<td>Course Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td>Design Thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Psychology</td>
<td>6560</td>
<td>Multimedia Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Psychology</td>
<td>6447</td>
<td>Intro to Web Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Psychology</td>
<td>6561</td>
<td>Adv Multimedia Learning Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Psychology</td>
<td>6562</td>
<td>3D Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Computers and the Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>Videogame Theory and Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Designing for the Mind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3030</td>
<td>Digital Arts: Theory and Practice of New Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>Designing for the Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>Multimedia Graphic Design for the Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3750</td>
<td>Game Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>Interactive Media Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>Advanced Interactive Design and Game Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>4473</td>
<td>Integrative Arts and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>Experimental Animation, Video and the Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>3350</td>
<td>Introduction to 3-D Computer Graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Digital Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>Principles of Cartography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Education and Promotion</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>Patient Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Introduction to Advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Recreation, &amp; Tourism</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>Computer Applications in Parks, Recreation and Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Recreation, and Tourism</td>
<td>5460</td>
<td>Electronic Marketing for PRT Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>5003</td>
<td>Survey of Statistical Computer Packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>6003</td>
<td>Survey of Statistical Computer Packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>Digital Storytelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>4030</td>
<td>Visual Rhetoric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E: Additional Information about Pilot Projects

Pilot projects planned for academic year 2009-10 will provide experience in establishing faculty and library partnerships, developing effective assignments, and collecting and evaluating data related to this recommendation. Willing partners in the University Writing Program and Undergraduate Studies have been identified and student projects/assignments have been defined. Upper-division, transfer, and research methods classes have also been identified to include in the pilot study.

Detailed planning involving librarians and instructors will take place over the summer to prepare for implementation in Fall Semester 2009. Planning will include further development of lesson plans and student projects and creation of an assessment plan for the pilots. Assessment will include components such as pre and post self-evaluation of skills in visual, information and technology literacy, a survey of faculty about perceived student learning outcomes, collecting examples of student work for further comparative analysis, and peer review by librarians and instructors in the University Writing Program, Undergraduate Studies, Educational Psychology, and the library. A report at the end of the pilot year will include qualitative and quantitative analyses of learning outcomes and student experiences, an accounting of time and other resources required for completion of the pilot, and a projection of resources needed to accomplish scalability and expanded implementation.

Pilot Project Part 1. A Plan for VITL in First-Year Programs:

*The curriculum creates opportunities for students to:*

1. *Interpret* documents and materials containing visual and technical elements as well as discipline or topic-relevant information resources.
2. *Communicate* visual material using technical tools drawing on appropriate discipline or topic-specific information resources.

The questions for instructors and librarians include the following: “What kind of assignments will support student development in this area?” “How might this competency be demonstrated?” “In what ways will students need to be supported by their instructor and the library in order to acquire the skills necessary to demonstrate learning and competency?”

According to an Undergraduate Studies brochure, the Learning Engagement Achievement Progress program (LEAP) “comes in three varieties: Thematic LEAPs explore the theme of community and diversity in different ways; Pre-professional LEAPs do the same thing within a cohort of students with similar interests; some are multi-year experiences; Residence Halls LEAPs allow residential students to take both their LEAP and writing courses on site.”

For first-year students—especially those in the Thematic LEAP and the LEAP + Writing 2010 classes—this might involve something as basic as a presentation that accompanies the completion of a research paper. Pre-Professional LEAP students could do the same, but a broadly-conceived, discipline-specific task might be more relevant.
Task 1: Presentation on Research Findings

Sample Student Project:
After completing a research paper exploring a theme of diversity or community, the student will share findings with the rest of the class.

- Student(s) will submit visual aids (PowerPoint, poster board, video or webpage) and conduct a 5-7 minute presentation, allowing for questions from the audience.
- Student(s) will use oral emphasis.
- Student(s) will integrate speech and visual aids.
- The visual aids will synthesize research findings—either those of the student, or those that emerge from library research.

Pre-Professional LEAP students might do Task 1, but a broadly-conceived, discipline-specific task might be more relevant.

Task 2: Presentation Describing and Illustrating a Real World Business, Engineering or Health Professions Problem

Sample Student Project:
Student(s) will describe a real world problem and its relevance. (Some possible examples: comparing financing options, investing money, rising costs of purchasing textbooks, costs of fuel-saving technologies or fuel-extraction technologies, advantages of one kind of medical treatment over another, the expansion of the roles of non-MDs in general health practice.)

- Student(s) will write a simple problem statement to describe the situation.
- Student(s) will suggest a way to resolve the problem.
- Student(s) will use an appropriate technology tool to create a graph or chart.
- Student(s) will explain in writing and/or in an oral presentation how the graph confirms the equation.
- Student(s) will write a summary of the project, and suggest the significance of the findings.
- Plan a campus VITL symposium and outreach activities.

Pilot Project Part 2: A Plan for VITL in Upper-division Classes

The nature of disciplinary specialization will dictate the kind of VITL teaching, learning and production in upper-division classes. We anticipate, however that by the end of an upper-division VITL course students will be able to interpret, use, appreciate and create images using both conventional and 21st century media in ways that advance thinking, decision-making, communication and learning.

For the upper-division pilot project we anticipate using existing classes to create case studies of successful VITL. (See Appendix E: Existing Visual Literacy Courses at the University of Utah).

July 2010 – evaluate pilot projects, data, student work and learning outcomes, etc.
After the pilot, the experiences and findings will be used to further plan for full implementation across the curriculum. No doubt this will be an iterative process: in subsequent academic years the project will be expanded.
Appendix F: Proposed RFP for VITL Curriculum Integration Grant Program

Purpose

The purpose of the Visual, Information and Technology Literacy Curriculum Integration Grant program is to foster the promotion of novel methods and techniques for integrating and teaching new literacy needs in the classroom. The goal of these classes is to better prepare our students as critical thinkers across a range of information modes.

The course should address one of more of these areas.

- **Visual literacy**: The course will demonstrate students’ ability to use, construct, analyze and communicate visual information.

- **Information Literacy**: The course will demonstrate students’ abilities to apply information to high-level problem solving and engage in analytical and critical thinking. In addition, the students’ will demonstrate the ability to determine the extent of information needed, access and use information effectively and efficiently, evaluate information and its sources critically, and understand the economic, legal, and social issues surrounding information and access and use information ethically and legally.

- **Technology Literacy**: The course will demonstrate the use of technological tools showing more than the acquisition of basic skills. This requires the use of the tool to promote self-confidence and curiosity to advanced content that will facilitate the continual adaptation to new technological aids such as online search engines, software applications and hardware advances.

Funding is available for the development or significant revision of classes that encompass some or all of these concepts. A faculty member may propose a course that is taught in a traditional way but could significantly benefit from the integration of visual, information or technology literacy concepts into the curriculum. Alternately, a class may be proposed that teaches traditional technical knowledge, such as the use of a particular software application, but introduces new critical thinking skills to the use of this technology.

For example: A writing class may integrate new technology in “team writing” exercises, utilizing cloud computing programs and on-line project management calendaring practices, and critiquing the utility of using these technologies for the assignments. Conversely, a class teaching web design may integrate visual art and art history concepts.

General Information

- Grant awards are for up to $5,000 based upon approved budget. Budget items may include course buyout for the instructor, TA salary, or other justified costs.
- All University of Utah faculty are eligible for this funding program.
- Team taught courses by faculty from different disciplines are encouraged, if this is
integral to the visual, information, and technology literacy component of the course. Library and research faculty can be a part of these teams.

- Grants are designed to support integration of one or all of the literacy components into a new or existing undergraduate or graduate course.
### Appendix G: Campus Infrastructure Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Software upgrades</td>
<td>• Personnel time and staffing availability</td>
<td>• Software upgrade during summer 2009</td>
<td>• ASTRA (current classroom scheduling software)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information about specific hardware and software in classrooms is unavailable</td>
<td>• Required software and hardware upgrades in classrooms</td>
<td>• Use the “Room Special Features” table in ASTRA to input information about classrooms in order to improve classroom decision-making</td>
<td>• Scheduling Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Faculty requests for new software in certain labs or classrooms</td>
<td>• No centralized campus registry for classroom hardware and software</td>
<td>• Match room to enrollment size when possible</td>
<td>• Current facilities and campus departments support technology in the classroom or lab including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• One-time software/ hardware use for a specific course</td>
<td>• Campus 60/40 split between PCs and Macs</td>
<td>• Schedule rooms with special equipment for just days needed and other classrooms on other days</td>
<td>o Office of Information Technology (OIT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scheduling computer classrooms for just the days needed and not the entire semester</td>
<td>• Faculty preference is to use own laptops in classrooms</td>
<td>• Start developing an infrastructure that provides upkeep for the hardware and software in the 180+ general purpose classrooms.</td>
<td>o Instructional Media Services (IMS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No comprehensive structure for the upkeep of hardware and software in 180+ general purpose rooms</td>
<td>• Costs of hardware and software (including maintenance and installations)</td>
<td>• Create a smaller ad hoc committee that would review semester-long requests in the Marriott Library</td>
<td>o University Libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Difficult to balance demands of semester-long courses and short-term usage of University Libraries facilities</td>
<td>• Inability to either provide security for or monitor 180+ general purpose classrooms during evenings, weekends, and when the University is not in session</td>
<td>• Encourage collaboration between IT professionals, staff, and faculty</td>
<td>• Technology Assisted Curriculum Center (TACC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Faculty and IT professionals are unaware of the resources available.</td>
<td>• Faculty are at a loss as to whom to call in each room for assistance</td>
<td>• Encourage all faculty to test out their equipment in the room they are going to use</td>
<td>• Center for Teaching and Learning Excellence (CTLE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Whose responsibility to provide campus-wide information about computing labs and classrooms?</td>
<td>• Funding</td>
<td>• Post instructions on who to call and how to use equipment</td>
<td>• Continuing Education courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Faculty needs vs. IT professionals needs</td>
<td></td>
<td>• University Training Consortium (UTC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- | Create a central web site for communicating details and specifications about: | | - | Scheduling computing labs and classrooms on campus |
| | o | | o Faculty tech support |
Appendix H: Visual, Information and Technology Literacy Selected Sources
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